

To: The Rt. Hon. Norman Lamb MP
North Norfolk Liberal Democrats
Pierre Butikofer, District Councillor for North Norfolk
Steffan Aquarone, Norfolk County Councillor

2nd September 2017

Dear Norman Lamb MP,

Reference: No Rights (North) Norfolk

Living 150 miles (or so) away from Westminster and 'The City' (London), our voices aren't heard in Parliament, our cases aren't seen publicly, (and as stated previously, we aren't represented by our constituency MP the Rt. Hon. Keith Simpson either – albeit that he was requested to do so).

Hence, here I stand – again – Pleading for (Your) help, (with apologies, I do know how busy you are, and have no wish to waste any of your time).

In brief, my daughter, two sons and I, would like our case raised in Parliament – partly to ensure that what happened to us never happens to anyone else, (anywhere), ever again – and partly also for Justice.

(We have NO Justice – because we have NO Rights living in North Norfolk – in the constituency of Keith Simpson MP.)

We have no Consumer Rights, no Civil Rights, no Human Rights – and the UK government is in violation of Agenda 21.

In meeting with Norfolk County Councillor Steffan Aquarone, (July 2017), I reminisced my (long) telephone conversation with your (then) Senior Caseworker, Alex Howe, (now retired), in which Alex recalled her workload working for Friends of the Earth on all the documentation in the lead up to the Earth Summit in Rio 1992, for what became 'Agenda 21', (later adopted after issue by the UK government, and most Nations globally).

Agenda 21 led to reforms in terms of the building of sustainable (ecological, cooperative, mutually beneficial, & ethical) community settlements – protecting the rights of (all) humanity, (without exclusion), and, most importantly in this case, in supporting (rurally isolated) self-employed single mothers – and their dependents, (especially girl children), known and recognised globally as being the most vulnerable to prejudice, exclusion, poverty, and austerity. (A lot of people say that Agenda 21 will lead to the 'New World Order', if that is the case, then the order should be 'Children 1st'.)

I represent the needs of the children – as a single mother, and as a (Rural) Social Entrepreneur – as the founder of the ARK social enterprises, where in

fact our original statement was: Global ARK Projects – “Believing in giving (ALL) Children the Opportunity of ‘A’ Tomorrow”.

In the 21st Century, Children have a ‘Right to Life’ protected by ‘The Law’, (and they also finally have a Right to Water too), however, still, at least circa 21,000 children die each and every day due to the effects of poverty, (lack of rights to water, no healthcare, etc.).

As discussed previously with Peter Carter-Smith at (Norfolk) Citizens Advice Bureau, with (former) Norfolk County Councillor Adrian Dearnley (Accountant) – and latterly with Steffan Aquarone – we need to ensure that, never again is any other fifteen year old ‘girl-child’, her siblings, her mother, (or anyone else) – anywhere, ever left to freeze to death by the fraudsters, or the (Local) Authorities, **without**:

- i. Consumer Rights Protection**
- ii. Civil Rights Protection (& without application of Agenda 21, 1992)**
- iii. Human Rights – without:**
 - a. Address**
 - b. Electricity (or electric light) for six months**
 - c. Heat – through sub-zero temperatures over winter 2012-2013**
 - d. Any way to easily access a bath or laundry facilities (for six months)**
 - e. We were often forced to excrete in carrier bags**
 - f. For one week in 2013, my eldest son and I, were forced to walk a two-mile-a-day round trip to collect drinking water from the public toilets and the Quaker Friends Meeting House in the nearby town**
 - g. Education – since it was impossible for me to continue to tutor my daughter following the previously applied and approved Home Study Plan to Educate Otherwise (on the intended tour) given the above situation**
 - h. Healthcare – since it was impossible for the to drive the 20 mile journey to our doctors when ill or in need of dentistry, (and were without the use of a car for a year, due to the original crimes of the Defendants, and the lack of Rights protection by the Local Authorities, as stated)**

At the same time as we were forced to endure the above – in 2012 - the Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, Lord Freud MP, was publicly quoted by the media as saying “ALL self-employed single parents and sickness claimants live in comfort on a few hundred quid annual wages – without taking risks” – and upon his incorrect assessment, Lord Freud MP/the UK government axed (free) Legal Aid, and slashed all (or any) supporting benefits for anyone in this situation, (causing lack of rights thereof.

Lord Freud MP was wrong – in fact, Lord Freud MP, the DWP (and the UK government) are in breach of Agenda 21, and in violation of International Laws, (of Human Rights, Civil Rights and Consumer Rights).

It appears from the evidence, (including UK governmental changes to voluntary NI contributions), that – far from following the guidelines of Agenda 21 and UN guidelines – there is consistent prejudice (and injustice) against the self-employed.

Following my meeting with Steffan, I rang Trading Standards and spoke with Conrad Meehan (on Friday 18th August 2017), to ask for advice prior to registering the Legal Case with The Courts (which must be registered by October 2017 as previously advised by Conrad Meehan) – however, on this occasion, I was advised that Trading Standards could no longer provide advice – without payment of fee, (instead, was advised to ring Citizens Advice, and advised that this was a different service from the Citizens Advice Bureau).

In previous telephone conversations, Trading Standards advised that, once the Legal Case was draft against the Defendants, if the case was submitted to Trading Standards prior to registering the Case with The Courts, they (Trading Standards) would check it through for us as the Plaintiffs (as the Litigant), and/or may even then look to register the Case with The Courts. (But when this was finally draft and submitted, they did not.)

When the case of fraudulent trading practices (in breach of Consumer Rights Law) was first registered with (Norfolk) Trading Standards (and the Citizens Advice Bureau) in March 2012, Trading Standards advised the Litigant/Plaintiff, (and by default also my daughter and two sons, also represented Legally by me as the Plaintiff), that – IF they found that there was sufficient evidence to prove the Plaintiffs **had** a Legal Case against the Defendants, then they would proceed to take Legal Action against the Defendants. (But when they did, nine months later, they did not.)

Instead, Conrad Meehan asked why the I had not taken action herself – to which the I explained that was because of the previous advice of Trading Standards/Citizens Advice Bureau, (following on from the previous response from Norfolk County Council and NCC's Insurance Department).

In fact, at the time that this legal case (against the Defendants) was first registered with Trading Standards/Citizens Advice (Bureau), I was working up to 22 hours in a day, as a (very busy) self-employed rural single woman anyway:

1. Working as a single mother (to care for her three dependents)
2. Going through ALL the family's possessions, sorting out what they were to keep, what was to be sold, and what was to be recycled – driving all the family possessions to the recycling centres and local

auction houses (all had to be boxed and bagged first, and boxes also needed to be collected)

3. Working through all the years of research and client copy files, digitizing as much as possible in order to rid herself (and her dependents) of all the piles of paperwork
4. Networking online – as a (rural) social entrepreneur – trying to help others in similar and worse situations of exclusion, poverty and/or austerity, (trying to get the ‘ARK’ message out from the situation of rural isolation), where the Internet was my window to the outside world (i.e. as Group Moderator at the Eco-Village Network UK, EVNUK, not-for-profit organisation)
5. Ensuring websites were updated (in part for 4)
6. Meeting and emailing clients, (working on architectural design projects)
7. Meeting with Neil Godfrey (Voluntary Norfolk) to discuss the ARK launch
8. Preparing leaflets/flyers (etc.) in preparation for the launch of the ARK social enterprises
9. Attending informal (and formal) Planning meetings (i.e. as an agent, at the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk)
10. Preparing my daughter’s Home Study Plan in application to Norfolk County Council’s Education Department to Educate Otherwise (i.e. during the family’s intended tour)
11. Driving all the family’s possessions (that were to be kept), once boxed or bagged, to the caravans (to be used in the family’s intended tour)
12. Attending Skype meetings – i.e. with Wiser (Earth), the United Nations, Genowa intentional Ecovillage Kenya (who also wanted to be ARK Kenya), with Imagine Rural Development Initiative (IRDI) Zambia (Ecovillage) – who (at the end of the Skype meeting) invited the Plaintiff to become an Ambassador for IRDI (and to promoted the health benefits of Moringa)
13. Trying to drive (everywhere) in a vehicle that was fraudulently mis-described pre-sales and which was seriously faulty and not-fit-for-purpose (as described pre-sales), and which took up to two hours to re-start when warm
14. Trying to draft the (original) presentations (notes) for the EDEXCEL BTEC subsidiary diploma in environmental sustainability – the Plaintiff was commissioned to make in April 2011 (but which I had been prevented from preparing earlier due to the insufficient funding dilemma – until we inherited the family legacy in October 2011 which allowed this – which allowed us the opportunity needed to purchase all the equipment needed for this to happen, including the vehicle that was purchased for this purpose and for the family’s intended tour)
15. Eventually, (as time progressed from the time at which this case was first registered with the authorities), also then dealing with phone calls, emails and written correspondence from creditors, utility providers, (Landlords), etc., as the Plaintiff was (by then) STILL waiting for the refund due from the suppliers (as needed in order to purchase an

alternative vehicle that would in fact be fit-for-purpose) – as threatened with Court Action, Bailiffs, Bankruptcy, (eviction), etc., while waiting for Trading Standards to take action against the rogue traders – as Trading Standards/Citizens Advice advised would be the case when the case was first registered

As explained previously – as registered with the appropriate authorities – at the time, I/the Plaintiff was a rurally isolated self-employed single mother (with full care of duty and full legal and financial responsibility for three dependents).

N.B. Because of the fraud and the suppliers supply of seriously faulty goods: **It was only possible to earn just seven hundred pounds, £700, UK Sterling (gross) in total in the year 2012** – because the goods that were fraudulently miss-described by the suppliers pre-sales were also seriously faulty and were NOT Fit For Purpose for either business use or for personal private (family) use as purchased – when, at the time in 2012, the Plaintiff's family and business **(outgoing) costs** were circa:

Rent:	£650 PCM
Council Tax:	£100 PCM
Water/Sewage	£30 PCM
Internet/Domain Renewals	£135 PCM
Website/Editors & Service Providers	£35 PCM
Loans/Debts & Bank Charges	£50 PCM
Car Insurance	£45 PCM
LPG (for the vehicle fraudulently miss-sold) 273% more than quoted pre-sales	£200 PCM
Landline & Mobile Phones	£50
PCM	

TOTAL = £1295 PCM

(Plus Food, Clothes, etc., for a family of four.)

N.B. I earned £0.00 (zero) in 2013, until October – when finally gaining possession of a working vehicle again. (A scrappy old van, worth minus £650, exchanged for the 3 ton American Prowler caravan – we purchased for £5K.)

Q: How much money does the UK government assess that a family of four need to live on in the UK in the five years from 2012 – 2017, given the above information?

A: Accountant Adrian Dearnley (former Norfolk County Councillor) has been provided with access to my (the Plaintiff/Claimant/Appellant - the Litigant's) financial information, Bank Statements, (access to the Plaintiff's online bank account), letters of awards provided by virtue of HMRC Inland Revenues Tax Credits and North Norfolk District Council's Revenues and Benefits Departments, (letters from Broadland Housing Association, Anglian

Water/Anglian Water Charity, and other creditors, bills, etc.), and will be preparing: (1) a current statement of accounts for the Litigant's submission to: The Courts; To The Clerks of The Courts; for Bar Pro-Bono Legal Representation/Assistance/Help & Advice; to prove to ALL that the Plaintiff is unable to pay for the Courts' Registration Fees or for Barristers, Lawyers, or Solicitors Fees, (or anyone else's), since the Plaintiff/Litigant has been prevented from earning her income as anticipated since the fraudulent supply of seriously faulty goods that were unfit for purpose in 2012, and (2), a summary of meeting notes and matters discussed from 2013 to current time.

Neil Godfrey (Voluntary Norfolk) very kindly offered to represent the ARK (our plight) at a Green Party meeting in Norwich (while we were still stuck on the field in 2013), and made the original contact with Adrian Dearnley – who (also very kindly) volunteered to help us (originally) to sort out the ARK accounts.

Adrian has (instead) been helping me to deal with North Norfolk District Council – who were threatening me with imprisonment due to my level of poverty and inability to pay their Council Tax. (Adrian resigned from politics prior to the local elections on 5th May 2017 – we met subsequently in Norwich – were I was relieved to find that Adrian was happy to continue to help me with my accounts.)

If possible, we (my daughter, my sons and I), would very much appreciate it if Pierre Butikofer could help us in representing our case to North Norfolk District Council – with Steffan Aquarone helping us to deal with our situation with Norfolk County Council – and, (if possible), we would very much appreciate it if you could raise our case in Parliament.

Child (and adult) poverty could and should end now.

(In fact, if the Councils in North Norfolk, Norfolk and East Anglia could accept that this level of poverty and lack of rights exist, and set aside Exemption and Exception Sites for our Right to Build and our Community Right to Build – on CLTs – then we could also simultaneously help people to build their own eco-homes and ecological communities, to provide the level of affordable housing needed across our region, to provide somewhere for the self-employed and others to work, stewarding the rural landscape following basic ecological designs solutions, such as Permaculture, providing alternative travel plans, etc., also helping Local Authorities to hit their deadlines for reducing demand levels, reducing waste, reducing CO2 emissions and reducing pollution. As was our aim in the first place – as you may recall from previous meetings.) That was ARK's aim – and still is – and is most assuredly not-for-profit!

Yours Sincerely,

Linda Beamish.
(2 Haddow Court, Hindolveston, Norfolk NR20 5BH)